## SEP 0 6 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

3	Appln. Ser. No.:	Filed:	Inventor(s):	Atty Dkt:
	09/826,383	4 April 2001	S. Yoshida	114Gi-143 (0694-143)
	Title: Electromagnetic Noise Suppressor, Semiconductor Device Using the Same, and Method of Manufacturing the Same			
•	Examiner: Khiem Nguyen		Art Unit: 2823	
•	Mail Stop AF Comm'r for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		4 pages <b>VIA FACSIMILE</b> 571-273-8300	

## **RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Dear Sir:

GKAY TO ENTER

In complete and timely response to the Office action mailed 1 June 2005, in which the rejections were designated as final, reconsideration and reexamination of the subject application, in light of the following remarks, are respectfully requested.

The sole rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 7-17, 19-21, 29-30, 35-37, 43, and 44 is based on the alleged obviousness of those claims over a newly cited reference, Inomata (US 6,069,820). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The action alleges that applicants' previous amendments necessitated the new ground of rejection. Those amendments included, *inter alia*, in all of the rejected claims that the "M component is present [In the M-X-Y composition] in an amount effective for [the] film to exhibit a saturation magnetization of 35 to 80% relative to the saturation magnetization of a bulk metal body consisting exclusively of the M component." However, the rejection admits, in multiple places, that Inomata does not explicitly disclose this limitation. Accordingly, the finality of the rejection is not proper because the examiner admits that the newly

09/826,383

Page 1 of 4

114GI-142